

PRESENTED IN 23rd ASIA PACIFIC CANCER CONFERENCE (APCC), AGUST 2015, BALI.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HISTOPATHOLOGICAL GRADING AND METASTASIS: STUDY FROM COLORECTAL CANCER PATIENTS IN MAKASSAR INDONESIA

Rahmawati Minhajat, Dimas Bayu, Sahyuddin Saleh, Tutik Harjianti Andi Fachruddin
Benyamin

Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Internal Medicine Department, Medical Faculty of Hasanuddin University, Makassar Indonesia.

Abstract

Background: Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a malignancy in the large intestine caused by uncontrolled cell growth. The cause of death due to the metastasis, and the prognosis is determined by the stage that can be assessed using Dukes classification or TNM staging system. Determination of histopathological grading is important because of the differences in radiosensitivity, local behaviour and the metastasis tendency. The aim of this study is to determine the relationship between histopathological grading and metastasis in colorectal cancer patients.

Methods : This study is a cross sectional study using secondary datas from the medical record of CRC patients at the RS. Dr. Wahhidin Sudirohusodo and its networking hospital in Makassar Indonesia, that were obtained by consecutive sampling. Inclusion criterias are all patients who had surgery and have the results of histopathology, radiology and other datas that may be used as a reference for determining the staging based on the Dukes classification and TNM staging system.

Result : The number of CRC patients during January 2012 to April 2016 was 268 patients, 55,6% of male and most widely in 51-60 years old (25,4%). Most of the CRC location in the rectum (61,2%) and highest location of metastasis is in lymph nodes (40,4%). From 179 patients who had result of histopathological examination, founded adenocarcinoma type (100%) and moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma (45,3%) is the most frequently histopathological grading. Most of CRC stage based on the TNM saging system is stage IV (27,4%) and based on the Dukes classification is stage D (26,8%). There is a significant relationship ($p<0,001$) between histopathological grading and metastasis, and seems a trend which poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma is more frequent in advanced stage, both based on the Dukes classification and TNM staging system.

Conclusion : There is a significant relationship between histophological grading and metastasis. Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma is more likely to be found in advanced stage of CRC

Keywords: Colorectal carcinoma, grading histopatology, metastasis

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HISTOPATHOLOGICAL GRADING AND METASTASIS IN COLORECTAL CARCINOMA PATIENTS

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is a malignancy originated from colon caused by uncontrolled cell growth [1,2]. World Health Organization (WHO) reported that CRC incidence is quite high, so is the mortality. In 2008, CRC incidence is 3rd among other types of cancer and 4th in cause of death due to cancer in the world. In Indonesia, in 2008 mortality of CRC is estimated to be about 11,1 people per 100.000 inhabitant and also the most common malignancy in gastrointestinal [2].

The main cause of death in CRC is metastasis. The most common sites for distant metastasis in CRC are liver, then followed by lung and peritoneum. It's reported that about 5-10% of CRC patient underwent surgery with lung metastasis and about 4% with bone metastasis. Based on literatures, the prognosis of CRC patient is influenced by several parameters, including sex, age, resection of primary tumor, number of metastasis, and tumor differentiation degree [3,4].

CRC patient's prognosis could be determined by staging CRC based on Dukes Classification and TNM (Tumor Nodes Metastasis) system. Both parameters are frequently used to determined CRC's stage, which assessed CRC's cell invasion to intestinal wall, lymph nodes metastasis, and distant metastasis to other organ. Those can be determined through clinical, radiologic, and histologic evaluation, where it's important to determined tumor spread, locally or systemically [5,6].

Histologically, 98% of CRC are adenocarcinoma with most subtype are non-mucinous adenocarcinoma, mucinous adenocarcinoma dan signet ring cell carcinoma. Based on the grading, adenocarcinoma are classified to well differentiation, moderately differentiation, and poorly differentiation. Determination of histological type is important because the differences

in histological features will also vary in terms of radio sensitivity, local behavior, and a tendency for regional and systemic metastasis. CRC's degree of differentiation is an important indicator to assess the potential of local invasion and metastasis systemic [7,8].

The aim of this study is to determine the relationship between histopathological grading and metastasis in CRC patients.

METHODS

This study is a cross sectional study using secondary data from CRC's patient medical record in Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo hospital and other affiliation hospital in Makassar Indonesia since January 2012 until April 2016, both outpatient and inpatient obtained by consecutive sampling. Sample of this study are population studies that met the inclusion criteria i.e. patients who have undergone surgery and have had the histopathological examination result originating from the resection (surgery), patients in it's medical record already provided data on TNM classification/Dukes, or patient medical records contained the results of a CT scan of the abdomen, chest X-ray, abdominal ultrasound, endoscopy, surgery reports and other data that can be used as a reference to determine the stage by the TNM classification and Dukes

Data analysis was performed using the statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 17. The descriptive statistical method used is the calculation of the frequency distribution. The statistical test used Chi Square test (Likelihood Ratio). The test results were considered significant if the p-value <0.05.

RESULTS

Data collection of CRC patient from Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo hospital other affiliation hospital in Makassar Indonesia from January 2012 until April 2016 are 268 people, with male 149 people (55.6%) dan CRC most prevalent between age 51-60 years 68 people (25.4%). (Table 1)

Table 1. Patient's demographic characteristic

Characteristic	n	%
Total patient	268	100
Sex		
Male	149	55.6
Age (years)		
11-20	5	1.9
21-30	15	5.6
31-40	35	13.1
41-50	58	21.6
51-60	68	25.4
61-70	58	21.6
>70	29	10.8

From 268 CRC patient, only 179 people met the inclusion criteria. CRC with metastasis was found in 109 people, divided into; locoregional metastases were 60 (33.5%) and distant metastasis are 49 people (27.4%) and 70 (39.1%) with no metastasis. (Table 2)

Table 2. Metastasis distribution

Metastasis	n	%
Locoregional metastasis	60	33,5
Distant metastasis	49	27,4
No metastasis	70	39,1
Total	179	100

From histopatological pattern, all CRC patient has adenocarcinoma type (100%), which moderately differentiated is the most frequent histopatological pattern with 81 people (45.3%). (Table 3)

Table 3. Histopathologic grading distribution

Histopathology type	n	%
Adenocarcinoma	179	100
Well differentiation	41	22.9
Moderately differentiation	81	45.3
Poor differentiation	50	27.9
Mucinosum type	3	1.7
Signet ring cell type	4	2.2

CRC's distribution based on Dukes classification (Astler Coller Modification), found Dukes D is the most prevalent staging with 48 people (26.8%), followed by Dukes C2 with 44 people (24.6%). In this study, there were 3 people (1.7%) which can not be staging with Dukes classification. (Table 4)

Table 4. CRC staging distribution based on DUKES classification (Astler Coller Modification)

Dukes	n	%
A	40	22,3
B1	13	7,3
B2	26	14,5
C1	5	2,8
C2	44	24,6
D	48	26,8
Unknown	3	1,7
Total	179	100

CRC's staging distribution based on TNM system, stage IV is the most the prevalent with 49 people (27.4%) dan the least is stage 0 with 5 people (2.8%). (Table 5)

Table 5. CRC staging distribution based on TNM system

Stadium	n	%
0	5	2,8
1A	27	15,1
1B	32	17,9
IIA	8	4,5
IIIA	37	20,7
IIIB	21	11,7
IV	49	27,4
Total	179	100

Correlation between CRC histopathological grading and staging based on Dukes Classification can not be tested, but the result is this study showed tendency of poorly differentiation CRC are more prevalent in advanced stage (C1, C2 and D) compared to early stage. While well differentiation CRC tend to be more common in early stage (A1, B1 and B2). (Table 6)

Table 6. Correlation between histopathological grading with CRC staging based on DUKES classification (Astler Coller Modification)

		Adenocarcinoma					Total
		Moderately Diff.		Poorly Diff.	Signet Ring Mucinosum Cell		
Dukes	A n (%)	Well Diff.	Diff.	Diff.	Mucinosum	Cell	
	A n (%)	21 (52.5)	16 (40.0)	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	2 (5.0)	40 (100)
	B1 n (%)	5 (38.5)	8 (61.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	13 (100)
	B2 n (%)	5 (19.2)	18 (69.2)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)	26 (100)
	C n (%)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)
	C1 n (%)	0 (0.0)	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (100)
	C2 n (%)	4 (9.1)	22 (50.0)	15 (34.1)	2 (4.5)	1 (2.3)	44 (100)
	D n (%)	5 (10.4)	13 (27.1)	30 (62.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	48 (100)
Total	n (%)	41 (22.9)	81 (45.3)	50 (27.9)	3 (1.7)	4 (2.2)	179 (100)

Can not be tested due to many cells with value 0. Diff; differetiation

Correlation between CRC histopathological grading with staging based on TNM system also can not be tested, the this study showed tendency of poorly differentiation CRC are more prevalent in stage IIIA and above, while well differentiation are more common in stage IIA and below (Table 7)

Table 7 . Correlation between histopathological grading with CRC staging based on TMN system

Stadium		Adenocarcinoma					
		Well Diff.	Moderately Diff.	Poorly Diff.	Mucinosum	Signet Ring Cell	Total
0	n (%)	4 (80.0)	1 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (100)
IA	n (%)	12 (44.4)	13 (48.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.7)	1 (3.7)	27 (100)
IB	n (%)	12 (37.5)	19 (59.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.1)	32 (100)
IIA	n (%)	2 (25.0)	5 (62.5)	1 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	8 (100)
IIIA	n (%)	4 (10.8)	22 (59.5)	8 (21.6)	2 (5.4)	1 (2.7)	37 (100)
IIIB	n (%)	2 (9.5)	8 (38.1)	10 (47.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	21 (100)
IV	n (%)	5 (10.2)	13 (26.5)	31 (63.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	49 (100)
Total	n (%)	41 (22.9)	81 (45.3)	50 (27.9)	3 (1.7)	4 (2.2)	179 (100)

Can not be tested due to many cells with value 0

From the analysis of correlation between CRC histopathological grading with metastasis, there was a significant correlation between CRC differentiation with metastasis ($p < 0,001$). Distant metastasis is the most prevalent in poorly differentiation CRC (62%), while no metastasis is the most prevalent in well differentiation CRC (73.2%). (T able 8)

Table 8. Correlation between histopathological grading with metastasis

Adenocarcinoma		Metastase			Total
		<i>Locoregional</i>	Distant	NM	
Well differentiation	n (%)	6 (14.6)	5 (12.2)	30 (73.2)	41 (100)
Moderately differentiation	n (%)	32 (39,5)	13 (16,0)	36 (44,4)	81 (100)
Poorly differentiation	n (%)	18 (36.0)	31 (62.0)	1 (2.0)	50 (100)
Mucinosum type	n (%)	2 (66,7)	0 (,0)	1 (33.3)	3 (100)
Signet Ring cell type	n (%)	2 (50.0)	0 (,0)	2 (50,0)	4 (100)
Total	n (%)	60 (33.5)	49 (27.4)	70 (39.1)	179 (100)

Likelihood Ratio test (p=0,000). NM; no metastasis

I. DISCUSSION

The prognosis of patient with CRC is influenced by several parameters, i.e. sex, age, resection of the primary tumor, number of metastasis lesions and the degree of differentiation [3,4]. The National Cancer Institute (2011), reported that the risk for TRC began to increase after the age of 40 years and increased sharply at the age of 50 to 55 years, the risk has doubled every next decade [9]. In our study, total of CRC, patients who were treated between 2008-2012, found male patients (56%) did not differ in number with female. Mainly found in the productive age range 20-60 years (60.1%) and CRC incidence increases with age and peaks at age 51-60 years (25.4%)

Metastasis in CRC can be grouped in to local and regional (*loco-regional*) metastasis and distant metastasis [10]. Based on metastasis, we found locoregional is 33,3% and distant metastasis 27,2%, while non metastasis CRC is 39.5%.

In this study, of 179 patients who had histopathologic examination, all of it is was adenocarcinoma (100%). Of the study evaluated 100 cases, reported that patients with poorly differentiation showed deeper invasion into the intestinal wall and lymph node metastasis

compared to moderately and well differentiation [11]. The results were consistent with the study conducted by Chung et al [12]. These findings suggested that histological assessment is very significant for the evaluation of clinical and management of CRC patient. The results of our study, found the degree of differentiation of the vast majority were moderately differentiation adenocarcinoma (45.3%) followed by poorly differentiation (27.9%) and well differentiation (22.9%), while the adenocarcinoma type mucinous only found in 3 (1.7%) and signet ring on 4 patient (2.2%).

This study showed a significant correlation between the adenocarcinoma differentiation with metastasis ($p < 0.001$), in which distant metastases are found most prevalent in poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma (62%), while no metastasis is found mostly in well differentiation adenocarcinoma (73.2%). The results are consistent with the study conducted by Riboli RE (1983), which reported an association between adenocarcinoma differentiation with metastasis to lymph nodes in patients with moderately and poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma [13]. The correlation between of differentiation and metastasis in CRC is possible due to increased mitosis and hyper-proliferation of malignant cell in poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma compared to well or moderately differentiation adenocarcinoma. Thus, malignant cell invasion to surrounding tissue and cell penetration through hematogen and lymphogen to metastasis also greater [14].

Nabi U (2010), reported a significant correlation between histology pattern in adenocarcinoma differentiation with CRC staging based on Dukes classification ($p < 0.000$), where poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma mostly found at an advanced stage (Dukes C), otherwise well differentiation adenocarcinoma only found at an early stage (Dukes A and B). [9]. Similarly, Derwinger K (2010), also reported a significant correlation ($p < 0.001$) between histology pattern in adenocarcinoma differentiation with CRC staging based on TNM system, where poorly differentiation linked to the higher number of metastasis to lymph nodes (stage

III) [16]. Although statistically the correlation between the CRC histopathology pattern with staging cannot be tested, but the results of this study showed a tendency of poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma are more prevalent in advanced stage (IIIA and above), while the well differentiation tend to be more prevalent in earlier stage (IIA or lower). Similarly, the correlation between the Dukes classification with CRC histopathology pattern, this study showed a tendency poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma more prevalent in advanced stage (C1, C2 and D), whereas well differentiation adenocarcinoma tend to be more prevalent in the early stages (A, B1, and B2). The tendency for poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma more prevalent in advanced stage CRC, either by Dukes classification and TNM system, also can be caused by the same reason that poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma, has greater ability to mitosis and proliferate, this increased malignant cell invasion and metastasis compared to moderately and well differentiation CRC [15].

II. CONCLUSION

There is a correlation between histopathological grading and metastasis. Poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma is more likely to be found in advanced stage of CRC, while well differentiation adenocarcinoma it more prevalent in non metastasis CRC. Poorly differentiation it more common in advance stage based on Dukes classification (C1, C2, and D) and advanced stadium based on TNM classification (IIIA and above).

REFERENCES

1. Singapore Ministry of Health. Colorectal cancer. Health promotion board. 2009. Available at: <http://www.hpb.gov.sg>. Accessed September 27, 2011.
2. World Health Organization. Cancer. 2011. Available at: <http://www.who.int/cancer/en/>. Accessed September 27, 2011.
3. Kelompok kerja Karsinoma kolorektal. Pengelolaan karsinoma kolorektal. Panduan klinis nasional. Revisi 2006.pp5-56
4. Lavery IC, Kostner FL, Pelley RJ, et al. Treatment of colon and rectal cancer. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2000;80(2):535-69.
5. Pezzoli A, Matarese V, Rubini M, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: results of a 5-year program in asymptomatic subjects at increased risk. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2007;39(1):33-9.
6. Marzouk O, Schofield J. Review of histopathological and molecular prognostic features in colorectal cancer. *Cancers.* 2011; 3: 2767-810.
7. Mendenhall WM, Bland KI, Copeland EM, et al. Does preoperative radiation therapy enhance the probability of local control and survival in high-risk distal rectal cancer. *Ann Surg.* 1992;215(6):696-705.
8. Rosai J. Gastrointestinal track In: *Ackerman's Surgical Pathology.* 9th ed. St. Louis: Mosby, 2004; pp 750-858.
9. National Cancer Institute. Colon and rectal cancer. Cancer topics. 2011. Available at: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq>. Accessed September 27th, 2011.
10. McQuaid KR. Alimentary tract cancer. In Tierney JR, et al (eds). *Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment 2008.* Stamford, CT: Appleton and Lange. 2008; pp1411-16.
11. Nabi U, Nagi AH, Riaz S. Morphological evaluation of colorectal carcinoma with grading staging and histological types. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2010 Dec;60(12):998-1001.

12. Chung CK, Zaino RJ, St ryker JA. Colorectal carcinoma: evaluation of histologic grade and factors influencing prognosis. *J Surg Oncol*. 1982; 21:143-8.
13. Cancer Research UK. Bowel (colorectal) cancer - UK incidence statistics. <http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/bowel/incidence/uk-bowel-cancer-incidence-statistics>. Accessed 20th May, 2012.
14. Dayal Y, DeLellis R. The gastrointestinal tract. In: Cotran RS, Kumar V, Robbins SL (eds). *Robbins Pathologic Basis of Disease*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders. 1989:897-8.
15. Nautiyal J, Du J, Yu Y, et al. EGFR regulation of colon cancer stem-like cells during aging and in response to the colonic carcinogen dimethylhydrazine. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liverl*. 2012;302(7):G655-63.

